From: "Wright, Ann L CIV USARMY CEHQ \(USA\)" < Ann.L.Wright@usace.army.mil>

To: "Mednick, Richard" < Mednick.Richard@epa.gov>

jeffrey.t.matson@usace.army.mil

CC: Gary.L.Vrooman@state.or.us

john.level@atg.wa.gov

Date: 8/30/2022 8:56:29 AM

Subject: RE: Bradford Draft FFA and Ft. Eustis Model

Richard,

Thank you for providing those comparison versions of the Fort Eustis Model FFA and the versions of the Bradford Island FFA. The comparison functions of Word are challenging in my experience, so I appreciate you working through that process. We will use the Version 4 for our proposed additional changes, while using the Fort Eustis Model FFA as our basic mandate. I hope to have a redline to you all before our next call in September.

Have a nice Labor Day holiday weekend.

VR, Ann Ann L. Wright Attorney Office of the Chief Counsel U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 402-996-3880 Wk Cell 402-860-2465

From: Mednick, Richard < Mednick. Richard@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 9:31 AM

To: Wright, Ann L CIV USARMY CEHQ (USA) < Ann.L.Wright@usace.army.mil>; Matson, Jeffrey T CIV USARMY CENWP

(USA) <Jeffrey.T.Matson@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Gary.L.Vrooman@state.or.us; john.level@atg.wa.gov

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Bradford Draft FFA and Ft. Eustis Model

Hello Ann and Jeff,

I am attaching four versions of the draft Bradford FFA. Going from left to right, these versions are as follows:

- 1. FFA Bradford EPA Draft 1 and Ft. Eustis. This version shows the red-lined changes between the Ft. Eustis model and the draft FFA that was sent by EPA to USACE/WA/OR in April.
- 2. FFA Bradford EPA WA OR and Ft. Eustis. This version shows the red-lined changes between the Ft. Eustis model and the draft sent by EPA on behalf of WA/OR, with concurrence by EPA, to USACE in August.
- 3. Bradford Island FFA Draft 1 EPA. This is the version of the original draft Bradford FFA which was sent by EPA to USACE /WA/OR in April.
- 4. Bradford Draft FFA WA OR. This is the version of the draft Bradford FFA which includes red-lined changes proposed by WA and OR, with concurrence by EPA, that was sent by EPA to USACE in August.

Version 1 and 2 are being provided at the request of USACE. Please disregard the two merged versions of the draft Bradford FFA and Ft. Eustis model that EPA sent to USACE/WA/OR on August 15 as those versions were incorrectly merged documents.

I propose that we work from version 4 for purpose of our ongoing negotiations.

Respectfully,

Richard

Richard Mednick Associate Regional Counsel Regional Judicial Officer U.S. EPA I Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 155, M/S 11 C07 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 553-1797